Geometric Entanglement and Quantum Phase Transition in Generalized Cluster-XY models

Aydin Deger[1,2] and Tzu-Chieh Wei[1]
[1] *C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics and Department of Physics and Astronomy, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY 11794-3840, USA*
[2] *Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University, 00076 Aalto, Finland*

(Dated: February 8, 2017)

In this work, we investigate quantum phase transition (QPT) using geometric measure of entanglement per site and per block in quantum spin chains. We begin with a detailed analysis of the transverse field XY model with three-site interaction. We characterize the ground state entanglement using geometric entanglement. Then we generalize the results to arbitrary n-site interaction. In particular, the model with halfway interaction does not exhibit QPT, and the entanglement has no singularity. We further introduce a parameterization that describes a large family of spin models whose ground state and entanglement are exactly solved. This family includes the XY models, the GHZ-cluster model and a cluster-antiferromagnetic model, the last of which exhibits QPT between a symmetry-protected topological phase and an antiferromagnetic phase. The QPTs exhibited in these models are detected by singular behavior of geometric entanglement.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 04.20.Jb, 05.30.Rt

arXiv:1702.01800v1 [quant-ph] 6 Feb 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum entanglement is one of the intriguing consequence of quantum physics. It was even strongly objected by Einstein in the famous EPR paper [1]. Later, Bell presented some equalities that help to gain more insight about quantum correlations [2]. These correlations also have been verified many times in different experiments [3, 4]. Quantum entanglement has been recognized as resource for quantum information processing. It is increasingly used in wide range of physics from black holes to quantum computation [5–11]. There has been much work done for quantifying entanglement in many-body systems. Many successful methods quantify bipartite system and some apply to multipartite systems [12–14]. Entanglement entropy and concurrence measurements are well-known examples for measuring entanglement in bipartite systems [15]. For multipartite systems, there are many possibilities but they are harder to calculate. Thus in this paper we will use geometric measure of entanglement to quantify entanglement and detect the quantum phase transitions for spin systems [16–18].

The phase transition is a phenomenon which describes change in the transition between the states of the matter due to control parameters such as temperature or pressure. Boiling of water or water freezing to ice is temperature-driven phase transitions that we experience in our daily life. On the other hand, quantum phase transition (QPT) [19] is driven by quantum fluctuations due to Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. It occurs at zero temperature and can be induced by the change in physical parameters such as a magnetic field, pressure or chemical potential. QPT is a change in the ground state of the many-body systems and involves low-lying energy levels. It is known that there is an abrupt change in the entanglement near the critical point due to divergence in long-range correlation [20–22]. Therefore, quantum entanglement is inherently related to quantum phase transition and QPTs can be characterized by examining quantum correlations of the system.

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. First, we provide a general framework for diagonalizing a large family of solvable spin chains. Second, we show how to compute the geometric entanglement for such systems and examine the quantum phase transition on the phase diagram. The new ingredient thereby is the calculation of block entanglement per two sites. We hope that the various examples we include will be of use to readers interested in studying QPT from the perspective of entanglement. The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, we diagonalize the Hamiltonian of XY model with three-site interaction and examine the boundary conditions. In Sec. III, we will introduce the geometric measure of entanglement per site and block for the multipartite many body systems. We will quantify global entanglement and examine quantum phase transition on the phase diagram. In Sec. IV, we will extend three-site interaction to n-site interaction and consider *halfway interaction* in the XY model. We will also examine classical/quantum correspondence of this model with two-dimensional square-lattice Ising model. In the last part, Sec. V, we introduce a parameterization of the generalized Hamiltonian for the cluster-XY model with n-site interaction. Through this, one can diagonalize many bilinear Hamiltonian by substituting related parameters, quantify entanglement and detect quantum phase transition on the phase diagram. We will present a solution of paramagnetic-ferromagnetic, GHZ-Cluster [23, 24], and symmetry-protected topological (SPT)-Antiferromagnetic [25] transition by using this method. We make some concluding remarks in Sec. VI.

II. THE ANISOTROPIC XY MODEL WITH THREE-SITE INTERACTION IN THE TRANSVERSE FIELD

Quantum XY model was solved by Lieb, Schultz, Mattis in 1961 and later all statistical properties was examined by many other authors [26–33]. One of the most convenient ways to solve spin chain problems in one-dimension is to describe the systems in bosonic or fermionic language. One can diagonalize the Hamiltonian by using Holstein-Primakoff transformation [34] for mapping spin operators to bosonic annihilation and creation operators. On the other hand for the fermionic picture, Jordan-Wigner [35] and Bogoliubov transformation [36] is a convenient way to diagonalize the Hamiltonian. Motivation of this section is to show XY model in the three-site interaction is exactly solvable and near the critical point, global entanglement shows divergence and QPT occurs between paramagnetic-ferromagnetic phase as in the same way in XY model in the transverse field.

A. Diagonolization of Hamiltonian

Firstly we begin with defining the Hamiltonian for the model. One can note that there is an extra σ_z term which gives next-nearest neighbor interaction.

$$H_{XzY} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\frac{1+r}{2}\Big)\sigma_{j-1}^{x}\sigma_{j}^{z}\sigma_{j+1}^{x} + \Big(\frac{1-r}{2}\Big)\sigma_{j-1}^{y}\sigma_{j}^{z}\sigma_{j+1}^{y} + h\sigma_{j}^{z} \quad (1)$$

where N is the number of the site, and r indicate the anisotropy between σ_x and σ_y terms. $r = 0$ is the isotropic XY limit and $r = 1$ is the Ising limit, h is in the transverse field.

We define Jordan-Wigner Transformation for mapping spin operators to the fermions,

$$\sigma_i^x = \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\big(1-2c_j^{\dagger}c_j\big)\big(c_i + c_i^{\dagger}\big) \quad (2a)$$

$$\sigma_i^y = -i\prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\big(1-2c_j^{\dagger}c_j\big)\big(c_i - c_i^{\dagger}\big) \quad (2b)$$

$$\sigma_i^z = 1-2c_i^{\dagger}c_i \quad (2c)$$

in order to determine boundary conditions, we rewrite the expression $\sigma_N^x\sigma_{N+1}^x = \sigma_N^x\sigma_1^x$ as fermions

$$\big(c_N + c_N^{\dagger}\big)\big(c_{N+1} + c_{N+1}^{\dagger}\big) \quad (3a)$$

$$= -\prod_{j=1}^{N}\big(1-2c_j^{\dagger}c_j\big)\big(c_N + c_N^{\dagger}\big)\big(c_1 + c_1^{\dagger}\big) \quad (3b)$$

One can notice that there are two possibilities to hold the above equation. First is the periodic boundary condition occurs where total number of site N is odd

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N}\big(1-2c_j^{\dagger}c_j\big) = -1 \quad (4)$$

$$c_{N+1} = c_1 \quad (5)$$

and other is the antiperiodic boundary condition where the total number of site N is even

$$\prod_{j=1}^{N}\big(1-2c_j^{\dagger}c_j\big) = 1 \quad (6)$$

$$c_{N+1} = -c_1 \quad (7)$$

Bearing in mind the canonical fermionic commutation relations (CCR) $\{c_i, c_j^{\dagger}\} = \delta_{ij}$ we reach the simplest fermionic form one can reach the simplest fermionic form of the Hamiltonian

$$-H_{XzY} = \sum_{j=1}^{N}\big(-c_{j-1}c_{j+1}^{\dagger} + c_{j-1}^{\dagger}c_{j+1}\big) + r\big(-c_{j-1}c_{j+1} + c_{j-1}^{\dagger}c_{j+1}^{\dagger}\big) + h\big(1-2c_j^{\dagger}c_j\big) \quad (8)$$

In order to eliminate different sites we define Fourier transformation where $b = 0$ indicates periodic/odd and $b = 1/2$ shows antiperiodic/even boundary conditions.

$$c_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}j(m+b)}\tilde{c}_m \quad (9a)$$

$$\tilde{c}_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}j(m+b)}c_j \quad (9b)$$

we derived the general Fourier transformation for this model which x and y specify the different site interaction and ˜ indicates momentum space.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j+x}c_{j+y} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}\left[(x-y)(m+b)\right]}\tilde{c}_m\tilde{c}_{N-m-2b} \quad (10a)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j+x}^{\dagger}c_{j+y}^{\dagger} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}\left[(x-y)(m+b)\right]}\tilde{c}_m^{\dagger}\tilde{c}_{N-m-2b}^{\dagger} \quad (10b)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{j+x}c_{j+y}^{\dagger} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}\left[(x-y)(m+b)\right]}\tilde{c}_m\tilde{c}_m^{\dagger} \quad (10c)$$

Using symmetry we reach the following form of the Hamiltonian.

$$H_{XzY} = -Nh - \sum_{m=0}^{N-1}\left[2\cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b)\right) - 2h\right]g\tilde{c}_m^{\dagger}\tilde{c}_m + ir\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b)\right)\big(\tilde{c}_m\tilde{c}_{N-m-2b} + \tilde{c}_m^{\dagger}\tilde{c}_{N-m-2b}^{\dagger}\big) \quad (11)$$

To cancel out non-diagonal terms, we use Bogoliubov transformation where Bogoliubov fermions obeys the

fermionic CCR that is $\{\gamma_i, \gamma_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}$

$$\tilde{c}_m = \cos\theta_m \ \gamma_m + i \sin\theta_m \gamma^\dagger_{N-m-2b} \tag{12a}$$

$$\tilde{c}_{N-m-2b} = \cos\theta_m \ \gamma_{N-m-2b} - i \sin\theta_m \ \gamma^\dagger_m \tag{12b}$$

$$\gamma_m = c_m \cos\theta_m - i \sin\theta_m \ c^\dagger_{N-m-2b} \tag{12c}$$

$$\gamma_{N-m-2b} = c_{N-m-2b} \cos\theta_m + i \sin\theta_m \ c^\dagger_m \tag{12d}$$

Then we reached the diagonalized Hamiltonian:

$$H = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \epsilon_m^{(b)} \left(\gamma_m^{(b)\dagger} \gamma_m^{(b)} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tag{13}$$

where ϵ_m is the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian

$$\epsilon_m = 2\sqrt{\left(r \sin\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b) \right)^2 + \left(h - \cos\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b) \right)^2} \tag{14}$$

with Bogoliubov angle

$$\tan 2\theta_m = \frac{r \sin\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b)}{h - \cos\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+b)} \tag{15a}$$

$$\cos 2\theta_m = \frac{h - \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b)\right)}{\sqrt{\left(r \sin\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b) \right)^2 + \left(h - \cos\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+b) \right)^2}} \tag{15b}$$

One can notice that, the solution is very similar to the solution of XY model [33, 37]. Only difference occurs in the angle by a factor of two. For the odd-number fermion case ($b = 0$), the lowest state is $\langle \gamma_m^{(0)\dagger} \gamma_m^{(0)} \rangle = 0$ except $\langle \gamma_0^{(0)\dagger} \gamma_0^{(0)} \rangle = 1$. Therefore the ground state energy as follows

$$E_m^{(0)} = (h-1) - \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{\left(r \sin\frac{4\pi m}{N} \right)^2 + \left(h - \cos\frac{4\pi m}{N} \right)^2} \tag{16}$$

For the even-number-fermion ($b = 1/2$), there is zero number of Bogoliubov fermions in the ground state. Thus, $\langle \gamma_m^{(1/2)\dagger} \gamma_m^{(1/2)} \rangle = 0$ and the ground state energy is

$$E_m^{(1/2)} = -\sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \sqrt{\left(r \sin\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2}) \right)^2 + \left(h - \cos\frac{4\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2}) \right)^2} \tag{17}$$

The ground state energy switches between these two solution when $h \leq 1$. At the point $h = 1$ quantum phase transition occurs between ferromagnetic and paramagnetic state. For $h < 1$ there are two degenerate ground state (in the thermodynamic limit). For the $h > 1$ degeneracy between these two states is lifted and is dominated by even-fermion case.

For simplicity, we will consider only even-fermion case ($b = 1/2$) for the further calculations. The ground state can can be defined in the fermionic language as follows:

$$|\Psi_{1/2}\rangle = \prod_{m=0}^{m<\frac{N-1}{2}} \left(\cos\theta_m + i \sin\theta_m \ c_m^\dagger \ c_{N-m-1}^\dagger \right) |\Omega\rangle \tag{18}$$

III. GEOMETRIC MEASURE OF ENTANGLEMENT

Geometric measure of entanglement is one of the promising methods for quantifying multipartite system. It was introduced by Shimony [38] for the bipartite systems and generalized to multipartite systems by Barnum and Linden [16]. Then, it has been studied by many people in one and two-dimensional systems [37, 39–41].

To introduce this measure, let us define n-partite, normalized state

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{p_1 \ldots p_n} \Psi_{p_1 p_2 \ldots p_n} |e_{p_1}^{(1)} e_{p_2}^{(2)} \ldots e_{p_n}^{(n)}\rangle \tag{19}$$

The main idea of analyzing entanglement is finding a distance between entangled state and separable state. If we define general product state as follows

$$|\Phi\rangle \equiv \otimes_{i=1}^n |\phi^{(i)}\rangle \tag{20}$$

The proximity can be calculated by taking overlap of entangled and separable state

$$\Lambda_{\max}(\Psi) \equiv \max_{\Phi} \langle \Phi | \Psi \rangle \tag{21}$$

where $\Lambda_{\max}$ is inversely proportional to entanglement of $|\Psi\rangle$. Normalizing entanglement for Bell States and giving 0 for separable states

$$E_{\log_2}(\Psi) \equiv -\log_2 \ \Lambda^2_{\max}(\Psi) \tag{22}$$

entanglement density can be defined as following for N-particle

$$\mathcal{E} \equiv \frac{E_{\log_2}(\Psi)}{N} \tag{23}$$

For the geometric entanglement per-site product of single spin state $|\Phi_1\rangle = (a|\uparrow\rangle + b|\downarrow\rangle)^{\otimes N}$ can be written as fermions by applying the Jordan-Wigner transformation

$$|\Phi_1\rangle = \otimes_{i=1}^N (a + b\sigma_i^-) |\uparrow\uparrow \ldots \uparrow\rangle \tag{24}$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^N \left[a + b \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} (1 - 2c_j^\dagger c_j) c_i^\dagger \right] |\Omega\rangle \tag{25}$$

choosing arbitrary normalizable constants $a = cos\ \frac{\xi}{2}$ and $b = sin\ \frac{\xi}{2}$, (note we assume the product state is also translation invariant) after calculations and simplification we reach the similar form as the ground state for the even N

$$|\Phi_1(\xi)\rangle = \prod_{m=0}^{m<\frac{N-1}{2}} \Big(\cos^2\ \frac{\xi}{2} + i \sin^2\ \frac{\xi}{2} \cot\frac{\pi(m+\frac{1}{2})}{N}\ \tilde{c}_m^\dagger \tilde{c}_{N-m-1}^\dagger \Big) |\Omega\rangle \tag{26}$$



FIG. 1: Entanglement density per site vs transverse magnetic field (h) vs anisotropy (r) for XzY model in the thermodynamic limit where $N = 1000$



FIG. 2: Quantum entanglement of XY model with three-site interaction in the transverse field where anisotropy r=0.5 (blue) and r=1 (orange) and total number N=1000

and we arrive the overlap for even N

$$\langle\Psi_{1/2}|\Phi(\xi)\rangle = \prod_{m=0}^{m<\frac{N-1}{2}} \left(\cos\theta_m \cos^2\frac{\xi}{2} + \sin\theta_m \sin^2\frac{\xi}{2}\cot\frac{\pi(m+\frac{1}{2})}{N}\right) \quad (27)$$

Maximizing $\log_2|\langle\Psi|\Phi\rangle|^2$ over ξ, we obtain the geometric entanglement Eq.(23) and the entanglement density.

In order to find Geometric Entanglement per block, we can also consider block entanglement where block size L=2 in the multipartite state by using geometric entanglement. We begin with writing product state where a, b, c, d are normalized but arbitrary constants.

$$|\phi^{[2i-1,2i]}\rangle = a|\uparrow\rangle_{2i-1}\otimes|\uparrow\rangle_{2i} + b|\uparrow\rangle_{2i-1}\otimes|\downarrow\rangle_{2i} + c|\downarrow\rangle_{2i-1}\otimes|\uparrow\rangle_{2i} + d|\downarrow\rangle_{2i-1}\otimes|\downarrow\rangle_{2i} \quad (28)$$

After fermionization we arrive the overlap of the product state and ground state for the even N we arrive the overlap for even N

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\Psi|\Phi\rangle = \chi_N \prod_{m=0}^{m<(N/2-1)/2} \Big\{ & a^2\cos\theta_m\cos\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-m-1} + d^2\sin\theta_m\sin\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-m-1} + \\ & \cos\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-m-1}\sin\theta_m\left[\frac{b^2+c^2}{2}\cot\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2}) + b\,c\cot\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2})\cos\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2}) \right. \\ & \left. + a\,d\sin\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2})\right] + \cos\theta_m\sin\theta_{\frac{N}{2}-m-1}\left[-\frac{b^2+c^2}{2}\cot\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2}) \right. \\ & \left. + b\,c\cot\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2})\cos\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2}) + a\,d\sin\frac{2\pi}{N}(m+\frac{1}{2})\right]\Big\} \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

with

$$\chi_N = 1 \text{ for } N/4 = \text{integer}$$
$$\chi_N = a\cos\theta_{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{N}{2}-1)} + d\sin\theta_{\frac{1}{2}(\frac{N}{2}-1)} \text{ for } N/2 = \text{odd integer}$$

By maximizing $\log_2|\langle\Psi|\Phi\rangle|^2$ over parameters a, b, c, d we can obtain the entanglement per block. We note that here we assume the closest "product" state is product of identical two-spin state. In the case of antiferromagnetic



FIG. 3: Derivative of Entanglement Density of XzY model where r=0.5. One can notice divergence at h=1 when $N \to \infty$

ground state, the entanglement per site is obtained via maximizing $\log_2 |\langle\Psi|\Phi\rangle|^2$ with following parameters:

$$a|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + b|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + c|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + d|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$$

where $a = \alpha\gamma, b = \alpha\delta, c = \beta\gamma, d = \beta\delta$ where $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, which comes from a product state of two sites $(\alpha|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle)(\gamma|\uparrow\rangle + \delta|\downarrow\rangle)$. We show the entanglement per site in Fig. 1 over a wide range of r and h. It is clearly seen that the behavior is singular across h=1. We illustrate this for two different r's ($r = 0.5$ & $r = 1$) in Fig. 2 and plot the entanglement derivative w.r.t. h in Fig 3.

IV. XY MODEL WITH N-SITE INTERACTION IN THE TRANSVERSE FIELD

In this section, we extend the three-site interaction to the n-site interaction. We note that solution of n-site interaction is very convenient and similar to the solution of XY model. In this way, we can grasp the general features of the model and can examine the effects of n-site interaction with n showing the number of σ_z terms in X and Y blocks. Thus, simply taking $n = 0$ would give the quantum XY model and $n = 1$ would give XzY Hamiltonian we solved in the previous section. Let's begin with defining Hamiltonian:

$$H_{XnY} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(\frac{1+r}{2}\Big)\sigma^x_{j-1}\sigma^z_j\sigma^z_{j+1}...\sigma^z_{j+n-1}\sigma^x_{j+n} + \Big(\frac{1-r}{2}\Big)\sigma^y_{j-1}\sigma^z_j\sigma^z_{j+1}...\sigma^z_{j+n-1}\sigma^y_{j+n} + h\sigma^z_j \quad (30)$$



FIG. 4: Quantum entanglement has no singularity and the system does not exhibit quantum phase transition in the halfway interaction where particles interacting with the opposite side of the circle in the cyclic boundary conditions.

After JW and Fourier transform we reach

$$H_{XnY} = -Nh - \sum_{m=0}^{N-1}(2\cos\Theta_n - 2h)\,\tilde{c}^\dagger_m\tilde{c}_m + i\,r\sin\Theta_n\left(\tilde{c}_m\tilde{c}_{N-m-2b} + \tilde{c}^\dagger_m\tilde{c}^\dagger_{N-m-2b}\right) \quad (31)$$

where we define Θ for convenience

$$\Theta^{(b)}_n = \frac{2\pi}{N}(n+1)(m+b) \quad (32)$$

n is the number of σ_z term in each X and Y blocks. We obtain the diagonalized Hamiltonian Here we present n-th nearest neighbor interaction solution

$$\tan 2\theta_m = \frac{r\sin\Theta_n}{h - \cos\Theta_n} \quad (33a)$$

Eventually we obtain the diagonalized Hamiltonian,

$$H = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1}\epsilon^{(b)}_m\left(\gamma^{(b)\dagger}_m\gamma^{(b)}_m - \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (34)$$

where ϵ_m is the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian

$$\epsilon^{(b)}_m = 2\sqrt{(r\sin\Theta_n)^2 + (h - \cos\Theta_n)^2} \quad (35)$$

We note that one can vary the number of σ_z terms to obtain other models without having to solve them again:

$n \to 0$ XY model

$n \to 1$ XY model with three-site interaction(H_{XzY})

$n \to \frac{N}{2} - 1$ *halfway interaction*

A. XY Model halfway interaction

In general XY model with n-site interaction exhibit a QPT except for $n = N/2 - 1$, as can be seen from ground



FIG. 5: Entanglement per site where r=0.5 in (orange) and r=1 (blue, dashed) in the halfway interaction



FIG. 6: Derivative of entanglement per site where r=0.5 in (orange) and r=1 (blue, dashed) in the halfway interaction

state energy and entanglement. In this part, we examine where $n = N/2 - 1$ case when QPT does not occur; see Fig. 4. This arbitrary choice of site-interaction, affects the solution of Bogoliubov fermions by vanishing some of the sin and cos terms depending on periodic/antiperiodic boundary conditions ($b = 0/\frac{1}{2}$). Recalling the solution of XY model with n-th nearest neighbors interaction

$$\tan 2\theta_m = \frac{r \sin \Theta}{h - \cos \Theta} \tag{36a}$$

where

$$\Theta(n) = \frac{2\pi}{N}(n+1)(m+b) \tag{37}$$

with a simple algebra one can show the solution of this interaction for even-fermion case (b=1/2)

$$\tan 2\theta_m^{(1/2)} = \frac{r \sin\left[\pi\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]}{h - \cos\left[\pi\left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]} \tag{38a}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^m r}{h} \tag{38b}$$

and for odd-fermion case (b=0)

$$\tan 2\theta_m^{(0)} = \frac{r \sin(\pi m)}{h - \cos(\pi m)} = 0 \tag{39a}$$

Halfway interaction can be an example of fermions interact with the opposite side of the circle. In this case, it is similar to spin coupling between opposite pairs. By the behavior of entanglement in Fig. 5 & 6, one can easily notice that there is no critical point and QPT does not exist. This is consistent with the behavior of ground-state energy in Fig. 7.



FIG. 7: Ground state energy (blue, dashed) and derivative of energy (orange) where r=0.5 and N=100 for the halfway interaction. There is no singularity (thus no phase transition).

B. Mapping to 2D square-lattice Ising model

Ising model is one of the simplest models for studying ferromagnetism as we consider N atoms and their magnetic moments represented by opposite direction of ↑ and ↓ spins in each site on the lattice. One can study the derivation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the transfer matrix by appliying Jordan-Wigner, Fourier and Bogoliubov transformations [42, 43]. It has been shown many times by several authors that one-dimensional quantum XY Model can be mapped to two-dimensional square-lattice Ising model in the transverse field by choosing proper constants [44–46]. In this part we will present the solution of this model and investigate the two-dimensional classical duality of one-dimensional generalized XY model with n-site interaction. Here we notice that any solution of n-site interaction in the XY model

corresponds to the same exact solution of 2D square-lattice Ising model by choosing proper equivalence of h, r and K_i. We begin with defining the two-dimensional Hamiltonian

$$H_V(\sigma_{11},\dots\sigma_{NM}) = -h\sum\sigma_{nm} - J_1\sum\sigma_{nm}\sigma_{n+1,m} - J_2\sum\sigma_{nm}\sigma_{n,m+1} \quad (40)$$

J_1 and J_2 is the bond strengths in the n-th row and m-th column. σ_{nm} can take ±1 values. We assumed that it has periodic boundary conditions $\sigma_{n,M+1} = \sigma_{n1}$ and $\sigma_{N+1,m} = \sigma_{1m}$. Then partition function can be defined

$$Z \equiv \sum_{\sigma_{11}=\pm1}\dots\sum_{\sigma_{NM}=\pm1} e^{-\beta H(\sigma_{11},\dots\sigma_{NM})} \quad (41)$$

Then we define $K_i = \beta J_i$ and $H = \beta h$. The partition function can be diagonalized by using the following transfer matrices V

$$V_1 = (2\sinh 2K_1)^{M/2}\ e^{-2K_1'\ \tau^x}$$
$$V_2 = e^{K_2\sum\tau^z_m\tau^z_{m+1}+H\sum\tau^z_m}$$
$$Z = tr\left(V_2^{\frac{1}{2}}V_1V_2^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^N = trV^N$$

where we defined the following identities

$$\tanh K_1' \equiv e^{-2K_1}$$
$$\sinh 2K_1\sinh 2K_1' \equiv 1$$

and H_V is diagonalized Hamiltonian with ϵ_k energy eigenvalues

$$V = V_1^{\frac{1}{2}}V_2V_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (42a)$$

$$V = exp\left(-\sum_k \epsilon_k\gamma_k^\dagger\gamma_k\right) = exp\left(-H_V\right) \quad (42b)$$

$$\cosh\ \epsilon_k = \cosh 2K_1'\cosh 2K_2 - \cos k\sinh 2K_1'\sinh 2K_2 \quad (42c)$$

The XY model solution of Bogoliubov fermions has the same form as the V matrix with proper constants [47].

$$\tan 2\theta_k^V = \frac{\sin k\sinh 2K_2}{\sinh 2K_1'\cosh 2K_2\cos k\cosh 2K_1'\sinh 2K_2} \quad (43)$$

$$\tan 2\theta_k^{XnY} = \frac{r\sin\Theta}{h-\cos\Theta} \quad (44)$$

where Θ in the second equation is

$$\Theta(n) = \frac{2\pi}{N}(n+1)(m+b)$$

Thus $[H_{XnY}, H_V] = 0$ if we choose r and h in the following form

$$h = \frac{\tanh 2K_1'}{\tanh 2K_2} \quad (45)$$

$$r = \frac{1}{\cosh 2K_1'} \quad (46)$$

which is independent of n (site interaction).

In the case $n = 0$, solution reduces to the XY Model. We noticed that each solution of XnY model is mapped to the same solution of 2D Ising model regardless of the site interaction (i.e., parameter n).

V. PARAMETERIZATION OF CLUSTER-XY MODEL WITH N-SITE INTERACTION

In this last section, we introduced a new form of the generalized Hamiltonian through it one can construct suitable bilinear Hamiltonians. Similar models have been presented by Suzuki in 1971 [44]. Here we will present an alternative parameterization of this family of models, which is more convenient.

We begin with defining the Hamiltonians, for which there are 7 types of parameters. $N^{(x)}$ and $N^{(y)}$ are the number of X and Y blocks in the Hamiltonian. For example, one can build a Hamiltonian with several X blocks and only one Y block in the transverse field. $J_l^{(x)}$ and $J_{l'}^{(y)}$ are the lists of coupling constants for each block. Thus, one can choose the strength of each block separately. At last, one can choose any number of site interaction for each block separately. $n_l^{(x)}$ and $n_{l'}^{(y)}$ are list of σ_z terms for each block. One should pay attention that the number of term in the $J_l^{(x)}$ & $J_{l'}^{(y)}$ and $n_l^{(x)}$ & $n_{l'}^{(y)}$ can not exceed $N^{(x)}$ & $N^{(x)}$. Finally, h is the strength of the transverse field. The Hamiltonian in shown as follows:

$$H_{PXY} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{N^{(x)}} J_l^{(x)}\sigma^x_{j-1}\sigma^z\dots\sigma^z\sigma^x_{j+n_l^{(x)}} + \sum_{l'=1}^{N^{(y)}} J_{l'}^{(y)}\sigma^y_{j-1}\sigma^z\dots\sigma^z\sigma^y_{j+n_{l'}^{(y)}} + h\sigma_j^z\right) \quad (47)$$

Following the same transformations and recalling the fermionic CCR, we reach the diagonalized Hamiltonian

where we define Θ for simplicity,

$$\Theta_l^{(x)} = \frac{2\pi}{N}(m+b)(1+n_l^{(x)}) \tag{48a}$$

$$\Theta_{l'}^{(y)} = \frac{2\pi}{N}(m+b)(1+n_{l'}^{(y)}) \tag{48b}$$

and diagonalized Hamiltonians as follows:

$$H_{PXY} = \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \epsilon_m \left(\gamma_m^\dagger \gamma_m - \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{49}$$

ϵ_m is the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian

$$\epsilon_m = 2\sqrt{(\beta_m)^2 + (\alpha_m)^2} \tag{50}$$

where

$$\beta_m = \sum_{l=1}^{N^{(x)}} J_l^{(x)} \sin\Theta_l^{(x)} - \sum_{l'=1}^{N^{(y)}} J_{l'}^{(y)} \sin\Theta_{l'}^{(y)} \tag{51a}$$

$$\alpha_m = h - \sum_{l=1}^{N^{(x)}} J_l^{(x)} \cos\Theta_l^{(x)} - \sum_{l'=1}^{N^{(y)}} J_{l'}^{(y)} \cos\Theta_{l'}^{(y)} \tag{51b}$$

with a general Bogoliubov solution

$$\tan 2\theta_m = \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m} \tag{52}$$

$$\cos 2\theta_m = \frac{(\alpha_m)}{\sqrt{(\beta_m)^2 + (\alpha_m)^2}} \tag{53}$$

$$\sin\theta_m = sgn(\beta_m)\sqrt{\frac{1-\cos 2\theta_m}{2}} \tag{54}$$

Next, we examine a few examples.

A. Paramagnetic-Ferromagnetic transition

In this part, we present the solution of the anisotropic XY model in the transverse field and XY model with n-site interaction by using the method we derived. In these models, there are one X and Y block and r is the anisotropy constant. We introduce the following quantities that characterize the model:

$$N^{(x)} = 1 \tag{55a}$$

$$N^{(y)} = 1 \tag{55b}$$

$$J_l^{(x)} = \{(1+r)/2\} \tag{55c}$$

$$J_{l'}^{(y)} = \{(1-r)/2\} \tag{55d}$$

$$n_l^{(x)} = \{0\} \tag{55e}$$

$$n_{l'}^{(y)} = \{0\} \tag{55f}$$

Putting these terms into H_{PXY} (47) and (51), we get the Hamiltonian for transverse field XY Model

$$H_{XY} = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left[\frac{1+r}{2}\sigma_{j-1}^x\sigma_j^x + \frac{1-r}{2}\sigma_{j-1}^y\sigma_j^y + h\sigma_j^z\right] \tag{56}$$



FIG. 8: Geometric Entanglement for GHZ-Cluster state where N=100

with a following eigenvalues

$$\epsilon_m = 2\sqrt{(\beta_m)^2 + (\alpha_m)^2} \tag{57a}$$

$$\beta_m = \left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)\sin\Theta_l^{(x)} - \left(\frac{1-r}{2}\right)\sin\Theta_{l'}^{(y)} \tag{57b}$$

$$\alpha_m = h - \left(\frac{1+r}{2}\right)\cos\Theta_l^{(x)} - \left(\frac{1-r}{2}\right)\cos\Theta_{l'}^{(y)} \tag{57c}$$

and bogoliubov solution:

$$\tan 2\theta_m^{(b)} = \frac{\beta_m}{\alpha_m} = \frac{r\sin\Theta_l^{(x)}}{h - \cos\Theta_l^{(x)}} \tag{58}$$

where

$$\Theta_l^{(x)} = \Theta_{l'}^{(y)} = \frac{2\pi}{N}(m+b) \tag{59}$$

Likewise, as a second example we can solve the H_{XnY} model with using following terms

$$N^{(x)} = 1 \tag{60a}$$

$$N^{(y)} = 1 \tag{60b}$$

$$J_l^{(x)} = \{(1+r)/2\} \tag{60c}$$

$$J_{l'}^{(y)} = \{(1-r)/2\} \tag{60d}$$

$$n_l^{(x)} = \{n\} \tag{60e}$$

$$n_{l'}^{(y)} = \{n\} \tag{60f}$$

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\sigma_{j-1}^x\sigma^z\ldots\sigma^z\sigma_{j+n}^x + \frac{1-r}{2}\sigma_{j-1}^y\sigma^z\ldots\sigma^z\sigma_{j+n}^y + h\sigma_j^z\right) \tag{61}$$



FIG. 9: Derivative of the Entanglement per site (blue, dashed) and per block (orange) where N=1000 for GHZ-Cluster state.



FIG. 10: Geometric Entanglement per site (blue, dashed) and per block (orange) for SPT-Antiferromagnetic phase where N=100

We can also build different numbers of site interaction for each block, such as n-site interaction for X block and m-site interaction for Y block

$$N^{(x)} = 1 \tag{62a}$$
$$N^{(y)} = 1 \tag{62b}$$
$$J_l^{(x)} = \{(1+r)/2\} \tag{62c}$$
$$J_{l'}^{(y)} = \{(1-r)/2\} \tag{62d}$$
$$n_l^{(x)} = \{n\} \tag{62e}$$
$$n_{l'}^{(y)} = \{m\} \tag{62f}$$

and substituting parameters into H_{PXY} would give the diagonalized Hamiltonian for the following model:

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1+r}{2}\sigma_{j-1}^{x}\sigma^{z}\ldots\sigma^{z}\sigma_{j+n}^{x} + \frac{1-r}{2}\sigma_{j-1}^{y}\sigma^{z}\ldots\sigma^{z}\sigma_{j+m}^{y} + h\sigma_j^{z}\right) \tag{63}$$

B. GHZ-Cluster transition

In this part, we present results of the GHZ-Cluster model which was studied by Wolf et al. [23]. The QPT in the model is peculiar as the ground-state energy is analytic for all range of the parameter, even though the correlation length diverges at the critical point. This time we choose $N^{(x)} = 2$ and a list of $J_l^{(x)}$ since we need two X blocks and $N^{(y)} = 1$ even though we do not have Y block. We are choosing the strength $J_{l'}^{(y)} = n_{l'}^{(y)} = 0$ in order to eliminate Y block. As one can see we assign different value for h to build desired Hamiltonian. Here we give the parameters

$$h = (1+g)^2 \tag{64a}$$
$$N^{(x)} = 2 \tag{64b}$$
$$N^{(y)} = 1 \tag{64c}$$
$$J_l^{(x)} = \{-2(g^2-1), -(g-1)^2\} \tag{64d}$$
$$J_{l'}^{(y)} = \{0\} \tag{64e}$$
$$n_l^{(x)} = \{0, 1\} \tag{64f}$$
$$n_{l'}^{(y)} = \{0\} \tag{64g}$$

after a rotation of the Hamiltonian ($\sigma_x \to \sigma_z$) we reach

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N}\Big(2(g^2-1)\sigma_{j-1}^{x}\sigma_j^{x} + (g-1)^2\sigma_{j-1}^{x}\sigma^{z}\sigma_{j+1}^{x} - (1+g)^2\sigma_j^{z}\Big) \tag{65}$$

where $g = 0$ for GHZ state and $g = -1$ for the cluster state.

Fig. 8 shows the global entanglement upon using the solution which we derived in the previous section. It contains the global entanglement per site (blue, dashed) and per block (orange, L=2). One can examine the derivative of the entanglement to see the divergence near the critical point. As shown in Fig. 9. the quantum phase transition occurs at the GHZ state at $g = 0$ [24].

C. SPT-Antiferromagnetic transition

At last, we examine a particular quantum phase transition [48, 49] between a symmetry protected topological



FIG. 11: Derivative of the Entanglement per site (inset figure shows per block) where N=32, 64, 200, 1000, 5000 (from top to bottom) for SPT-Antiferromagnetic state



FIG. 12: Derivative of the Entanglement per site where N=32, 64, 200, 1000, 5000 (from top to bottom) for SPT-Antiferromagnetic for halfway interaction

order and an antiferromagnetic phase by using the same method we derived. We choose one X and one Y block and set $h = 0$ to eliminate the transverse field term. Parameters of the model considered is as follows

$$h = 0 \tag{66a}$$
$$N^{(x)} = 1 \tag{66b}$$
$$N^{(y)} = 1 \tag{66c}$$
$$J_l^{(x)} = \{-1\} \tag{66d}$$
$$J_{l'}^{(y)} = \{\lambda\} \tag{66e}$$
$$n_l^{(x)} = \{1\} \tag{66f}$$
$$n_{l'}^{(y)} = \{0\} \tag{66g}$$

Thus the Hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j-1}^x \sigma^z \sigma_{j+1}^x + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j-1}^y \sigma_j^y \tag{67}$$

We calculated site & block entanglement, shown in Fig. 10, and exact solution of the energy eigenvalues by substituting related parameters to the generalized Cluster-XY Hamiltonian Eq. (47). As can be seen Fig. 11, derivative of the site entanglement has singularity at $\lambda = 1$ where quantum phase transition occurs between cluster and an antiferromagnetic phase. We note that as the antiferromagnetic phase is involved in the model, in order to compute entanglement per site. We use the closest product state of the form $|\Phi\rangle = \prod_i |\phi^{[2i-1,2i]}\rangle$ with $|\phi^{[2i-1,2i]}\rangle = (\alpha|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle)(\gamma|\uparrow\rangle + \delta|\downarrow\rangle)$. Entanglement derivative w.r.t. λ clearly shows a divergence at $\lambda = 1$ as N increases. This is a QPT seperating an SPT phase and an antiferromagnetic ordered phase. The SPT phase [50] is protected by a $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry, and the representative state in the phase is the 1D cluster state [51, 52]. Beyond reproducing results by Son et al. [25], we also examined the case of *halfway interaction* for X blocks as in the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j-1}^x \sigma^z \dots \sigma^z \sigma_{j+(N/2)-1}^x + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_{j-1}^y \sigma_j^y \tag{68}$$

Geometric entanglement still gives singularity at the critical point $\lambda = 1$ where quantum phase transition occurs. See Fig. 12. This is in contrast to the halfway XnY model with the transverse field term.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this work, we studied several spin chain problems and the quantum phase transition of each model. Firstly we solved the XY model with next-nearest interaction and used geometric entanglement to examine quantum phase transition on the phase diagram. For diagonalization, we used Jordan-Wigner transformation and derived Fourier transformation for n-site interaction. Similar to XY model, this model also exhibits QPT between ferromagnetic-paramagnetic states. Later we extended our results and constructed the model for n-site interaction. By using this, one can examine different site interactions and their features.

Furthermore, we employed a geometric measure of entanglement per site/block for quantifying entanglement in the multipartite system. We examined global entanglement near the quantum critical point and investigated quantum phase transition in several models. We also discussed duality between one-dimensional quantum XY model with n-site interaction and the two-dimensional square-lattice Ising model.

We introduced a parameterization of the generalized spin chains, for which one can easily examine quantum entanglement and quantum phase transition. The entanglement was solved by first calculating the overlap of the ground state with a suitable set of product states and then maximizing it over the parameters in the product state. We reproduced the phase diagram of several examples such as QPT between GHZ-Cluster phase and SPT-Antiferromagnetic phase as well as some extensions.

Regarding the entanglement per block, we were able to obtain analytic results for a block of two sites. Even though numerically one can compute per block of any number of sites, it would be interesting to derive analytically the overlap with block product state with any number of sites in a block. We leave it for future exploration.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Science Foundation via Grants No. PHY 1620252 and No. PHY 1314748. A. Deger hereby acknowledges Fulbright scholarship, granted by the U.S. Department of State's Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs, to fund the author during this research in Stony Brook University. Some of the results are based on his master's thesis submitted to the Graduate School at Stony Brook University in 2016 [53].

[1] Albert Einstein, Boris Podolsky, and Nathan Rosen. Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? *Physical review*, 47(10):777, 1935.

[2] John S Bell. On the einstein podolsky rosen paradox, 1964.

[3] John F. Clauser, Michael A. Horne, Abner Shimony, and Richard A. Holt. Proposed experiment to test local hidden-variable theories. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 23:880–884, Oct 1969.

[4] Alain Aspect, Philippe Grangier, and Gérard Roger. Experimental tests of realistic local theories via bell's theorem. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 47:460–463, Aug 1981.

[5] Michael Nielsen and Isaac L. Chuang. Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, 2000.

[6] Charles H Bennett, Gilles Brassard, Claude Crépeau, Richard Jozsa, Asher Peres, and William K Wootters. Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-rosen channels. *Physical review letters*, 70(13):1895, 1993.

[7] Peter W Shor. Algorithms for quantum computation: Discrete logarithms and factoring. In *Foundations of Computer Science, 1994 Proceedings., 35th Annual Symposium on*, pages 124–134. IEEE, 1994.

[8] Lov K Grover. A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search. In *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pages 212–219. ACM, 1996.

[9] Artur K Ekert. Quantum cryptography based on bells theorem. *Physical review letters*, 67(6):661, 1991.

[10] J. Maldacena and L. Susskind. Cool horizons for entangled black holes. *Fortschritte der Physik*, 61(9):781–811, 2013.

[11] Jeff Steinhauer. Observation of quantum Hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole. *Nat Phys*, 12(10):959–965, oct 2016.

[12] Luigi Amico, Rosario Fazio, Andreas Osterloh, and Vlatko Vedral. Entanglement in many-body systems. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 80(2):517–576, 2008.

[13] Ingo Peschel. Special review: entanglement in solvable many-particle models. *Brazilian Journal of Physics*, 42(3-4):267–291, 2012.

[14] V. Vedral, M. B. Plenio, M. A. Rippin, and P. L. Knight. Quantifying Entanglement. *Physical Review Letters*, 78(12):2275–2279, 1997.

[15] William K Wootters. Entanglement of formation of an arbitrary state of two qubits. *Physical Review Letters*, 80(10):2245, 1998.

[16] H. Barnum and N. Linden. Monotones and invariants for multi-particle quantum states. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 34:6787, 2001.

[17] Tzu-Chieh Wei and Paul M. Goldbart. Geometric measure of entanglement and applications to bipartite and multipartite quantum states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 68:042307, Oct 2003.

[18] Tzu-Chieh Wei, Dyutiman Das, Swagatam Mukhopadyay, Smitha Vishveshwara, and Paul M Goldbart. Global entanglement and quantum criticality in spin chains. *Physical Review A*, 71(6):060305, 2005.

[19] Subir Sachdev. *Quantum phase transitions*. Wiley Online Library, 2007.

[20] Tobias J. Osborne and Michael a. Nielsen. Entanglement, Quantum Phase Transitions, and Density Matrix Renormalization. *Quantum Information Processing*, 1(April):45–53–53, 2002.

[21] L. Amico, F. Baroni, A. Fubini, D. Patanè, V. Tognetti, and Paola Verrucchi. Divergence of the entanglement range in low-dimensional quantum systems. *Phys. Rev. A*, 74:022322, Aug 2006.

[22] Huihuo Zheng. Entanglement in quantum phase transition. pages 1–10, 2012.

[23] Michael M Wolf, Gerardo Ortiz, Frank Verstraete, and J Ignacio Cirac. Quantum phase transitions in matrix product systems. *Physical review letters*, 97(11):110403, 2006.

[24] Tzu-Chieh Wei. Entanglement under the renormalization-group transformations on quantum states and in quantum phase transitions. *Physical Review A*, 81(6):062313, 2010.

[25] W Son, L Amico, R Fazio, A Hamma, S Pascazio, and V Vedral. Quantum phase transition between cluster and antiferromagnetic states. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 95(5):50001, 2011.

[26] Barry M McCoy. Spin correlation functions of the x- y model. *Physical Review*, 173(2):531, 1968.

[27] Eytan Barouch, Barry M. McCoy, and Max Dresden. Statistical mechanics of the XY model. I. *Physical Review*

A, 2(3):1075–1092, 1970.

[28] F. Verstraete, M. Popp, and J. I. Cirac. Entanglement versus correlations in spin systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92:027901, Jan 2004.

[29] Jiannis K. Pachos and Martin B. Plenio. Three-spin interactions in optical lattices and criticality in cluster hamiltonians. *Physical Review Letters*, 93(5):1–4, 2004.

[30] Ping Lou, Wen Chin Wu, and Ming Che Chang. Quantum phase transition in spin-1/2 XX Heisenberg chain with three-spin interaction. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 70(6):064405, 2004.

[31] V. Derzhko, O. Derzhko, and J. Richter. Exact solution of a spin-1/2 XX chain with three-site interactions in a random transverse field: Influence of randomness on the quantum phase transition. *Physical Review B*, 83(17):174428, 2011.

[32] I. Titvinidze and G. I. Japaridze. Phase diagram of the spin S = 1/2 extended XY model. *European Physical Journal B*, 32(3):383–393, 2003.

[33] Antonella De Pasquale and Paolo Facchi. XY model on the circle: Diagonalization, spectrum, and forerunners of the quantum phase transition. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 80(3):1–14, 2009.

[34] Holstein Primakoff and T Holstein. Many-body interactions in atomic and nuclear systems. *Physical Review*, 55(12):1218, 1939.

[35] Mohamed Azzouz. Interchain-coupling effect on the one-dimensional spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic heisenberg model. *Phys. Rev. B*, 48:6136–6140, Sep 1993.

[36] John Bardeen, Leon N Cooper, and J Robert Schrieffer. Theory of superconductivity. *Physical Review*, 108(5):1175, 1957.

[37] Tzu-Chieh Wei, Smitha Vishveshwara, and Paul M Goldbart. Global geometric entanglement in transverse-field xy spin chains: finite and infinite systems. *Quantum Information & Computation*, 11(3):326–354, 2011.

[38] Abner Shimony. Degree of entanglement. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 755:675, 1995.

[39] Robert Hübener, Matthias Kleinmann, Tzu-Chieh Wei, Carlos González-Guillén, and Otfried Gühne. Geometric measure of entanglement for symmetric states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 80:032324, Sep 2009.

[40] Ching Yu Huang and Feng Li Lin. Multipartite entanglement measures and quantum criticality from matrix and tensor product states. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 81(3):1–9, 2010.

[41] Arul Lakshminarayan and V. Subrahmanyam. Multipartite entanglement in a one-dimensional time-dependent ising model. *Phys. Rev. A*, 71:062334, Jun 2005.

[42] Lars Onsager. Crystal statistics. I. A two-dimensional model with an order-disorder transition. *Physical Review*, 65(3-4):117–149, 1944.

[43] T. D. Schultz, D. C. Mattis, and E. H. Lieb. Two-dimensional Ising model as a soluble problem of many fermions, 1964.

[44] Masuo Suzuki. Relationship among Exactly Soluble Models of Critical Phenomena. I. *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, 46(5):1337–1359, 1971.

[45] Masuo Suzuki. Relationshio between d-Dimensional Quantal Spin Systems and (d+1)-Dimensional Ising Systems. 56(5):1454–1469, 1976.

[46] SL Sondhi, SM Girvin, JP Carini, and D Shahar. Continuous quantum phase transitions. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 69(1):315, 1997.

[47] Marek M. Rams, Valentin Zauner, Matthias Bal, Jutho Haegeman, and Frank Verstraete. Truncating an exact matrix product state for the XY model: Transfer matrix and its renormalization. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics*, 92(23):8, 2015.

[48] Zheng-Cheng Gu and Xiao-Gang Wen. Tensor-entanglement-filtering renormalization approach and symmetry-protected topological order. *Physical Review B*, 80(15):155131, 2009.

[49] Frank Pollmann, Erez Berg, Ari M Turner, and Masaki Oshikawa. Symmetry protection of topological phases in one-dimensional quantum spin systems. *Physical review b*, 85(7):075125, 2012.

[50] Wonmin Son, Luigi Amico, and Vlatko Vedral. Topological order in 1d cluster state protected by symmetry. *Quantum Information Processing*, 11(6):1961–1968, 2012.

[51] Robert Raussendorf and Hans J Briegel. A one-way quantum computer. *Physical Review Letters*, 86(22):5188, 2001.

[52] Dominic V Else, Ilai Schwarz, Stephen D Bartlett, and Andrew C Doherty. Symmetry-protected phases for measurement-based quantum computation. *Physical review letters*, 108(24):240505, 2012.

[53] Aydin Deger. Quantum phase transition and quantum entanglement in the generalized cluster-xy model. Master's thesis, State University of New York at Stony Brook, 2016.